UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/525,033 | 02/17/2005 | Kazunari Era | 122510 | 3519 |
| 25944 OLIFF & BERI | 7590 08/29/200 RIDGE, PLC | 8 | EXAM | IINER |
| P.O. BOX 320850 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850 | | | TUCKER, WESLEY J | |
| ALEXANDRIA | A, VA 22320-4830 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2624 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/29/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Interview Summary | 10/525,033 ERA, KAZUNARI | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | WESLEY TUCKER | 2624 | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO | personnel): | | |
| (1) <u>WESLEY TUCKER</u> . | (3) <u>Akira Shoji</u> . | | |
| (2) <u>Patrick Muffo Reg. No. 60,342</u> . | (4) | | |
| Date of Interview: 26 August 2008. | | | |
| Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2 | 2)⊠ applicant's representative | ·] | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)⊠ No. | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>18</u> . | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: Patent 6,445,833 to Mu | <u>ırata</u> . | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g |) was not reached. h) № N | I/A. | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Applicant's clarified the nacelulation of the Z values using only data from a single pix amendments appear to overcome the prior art of Murata. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE AINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW CASTATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE | meaning of the recently amend tel was discussed. Examiner and the examiner agony of the amendments that will.) CTION MUST INCLUDE THE last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, | reed would render ould render the seed would render the seed been filed, APP WHICHEVER IS | er the claims claims OF THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO |
| Wes Tucker/ | | | |

Application No.

Applicant(s)